

Plan	Road / Street	Object	Support	Support In part	Neither	Comments
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill			1		The Town Council would wish that the loading bay has restricted times only on a Monday – Friday and not seven days a week. This will allow for the Keynsham Farmers Market traders to have early morning access for unloading.
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				The Town Council are of the opinion that both proposed crossings are in the wrong location and users of the centre will cross at natural flow positions such as the top of Bath Hill and at the location of the old pedestrian crossing from Specsavers/Andrews Estate agents to the Civic Centre space in front of the library and One Stop Shop.
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				I am in total agreement with this article in the Week of the 1 st January. I work in the Civic Centre and when I come into work in the morning I have to cross over near Costa and then cross over at the ICE land crossing then cross over the crossing near Bath Bakery, which is going away from where I need to get. The same is at lunchtime I either have to risk crossing on a very busy road or do the same route again as in the morning. Which is such a waste of effort. There needs to be a safe way of crossing over to the Civic Centre and also a safe way of crossing the Bath Road, this needs to be a priority before there is a nasty accident.
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				I wish to lodge my plea that they be very carefully thought through; I would urge someone to think about putting a crossing somewhere nearer to the roundabout on the high street (outside Andrews estate agent). The simple reasoning for this has to be that a crossing further down the road (by the sports centre) will NOT be used by hardly anyone unless they approach from the other end of the road. My wife and I have two children, aged 5 and 7 and obviously we care very much about their safety. However, even my wife will wait to cross near the roundabout as walking on further involves crossing an extra road and is simply too far to go (each time). Crossings surely need to be put where the majority of people will use them to ensure their safety, not in order to try and control where someone thinks people should cross.

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				The best result would be for a pedestrian crossing to be put back to where it was originally opposite the clock tower. The majority of people wishing to cross the road used that crossing. The busiest parts of the Keynsham High Street are between Sainsburys, Andrews, Poundland and the Clic shop and this is where people are trying to cross the road. This, at the present time is dangerous as the island between the Clic shop and Sainsburys has been removed and I believe the island opposite the pound shop may also be removed. If people have difficulty walking the crossing in Temple Street is too far for them to use. Please think carefully about future crossings.
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				I wish to object to the proposed locations of the new pedestrian crossings in Bath Hill and Temple Street. Neither of these locations is appropriate or convenient for people making their way between the High Street and the new Civic Centre, library etc. The pedestrian layout of the Civic Centre forms a natural progression and visual link with the High Street, encouraging pedestrian movement to flow naturally between the two areas. The proposed pedestrian crossing points do not reflect this and would require people to make a significant detour – either down Bath Hill or along Temple Street (via yet another pedestrian crossing on Rock Road). Experience tells us that unless natural ‘desire lines’ for pedestrian movement are respected, people will simply make their way via the quickest and most direct route – in this case across the Bath Hill /Temple Street junction in the vicinity of the mini roundabout. Clearly, this would be unsafe. Please re-think these proposals. They are illogical and would compromise pedestrian safety.
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				The best result would be for a pedestrian crossing to be put back to where it was originally opposite the clock tower.
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				As a Keynsham resident for over 50 years and now a partially sighted pensioner, I am concerned about the lack of pedestrian crossings by the new town centre buildings. I found it impossible to cross Bath Hill recently: no crossing and no island where I used to be able to cross. I hope you are going to replace the crossing on Temple Street. There is a rumour that the crossing is to be further along Temple Street, which is quite impractical, not just for people like me but for all pedestrians. If I understand correctly, in order to get to the new complex from the other side of the High Street, one has to walk down Bath Hill nearly to the Park Gates in order to cross and then back up the other side. Please give us practical crossing places.

						<p>I am extremely concerned about the provision of pedestrian crossings on or around the High Street and fear this will be some fatal or serious injuries incurred in this area for the following reasons: 1. The widening of the pavement at the top of Bath Hill, but removal of the central refuge so there is no safe place to cross the road at this very busy mini roundabout junction. There is no close zebra crossing in which to negotiate this road and people are forced to run the gauntlet in so doing. 2. Why was the road narrowed at this junction – it has made congestion worse as now the traffic queues in one long line instead of two (one line to the right and the other to the left) and NO Kerbs so reckless drivers can easily mount the kerb and cause serious injury/death?</p> <p>3. The provision of a light controlled crossing at Rock road just yards from a mini roundabout needs addressing before this too causes injury to pedestrians.</p>
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>The current crossings are totally inadequate to service the new centre. I'm attaching a sketch of where we think crossings should go. The slope and design of the pavement indicates that this is where crossings were planned.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>Can I please ask you to reconsider your plans for pedestrian crossings in the vicinity of the new Keynsham Civic Centre. You have tried to make Keynsham pedestrian friendly in the past but your current plans clearly put the car first as pedestrians are prevented from crossing either the High Street, Bath Hill or Temple Street at their preferred convenient location. You currently have lowered pavements on both sides of the road at 3 locations adjacent the roundabout at the top of Bath Hill. The original dropped kerb outside and opposite the Pound Shop and two further dropped kerbs opposite Coral Bookmakers on Bath Hill and Andrews Estate Agents on Temple Street. The latter two are new and include tactile paving slabs suggesting, particularly to the partially sighted, that this is the preferred point at which to cross the road. Car drivers also appear to identify these points as likely crossing areas and (thankfully) generally willing to give-way to pedestrians waiting to cross. I think you need to abandon a plan that assumes pedestrians will make the detour either to the bottom of Bath Hill and back up, or alternatively along Temple Street past the fire station and back again and instead recognise that you have already created 3 preferred crossing points close to the roundabout. The speed limit in this area is 20 mph so should not be an issue for drivers to negotiate crossing facilities close to the roundabout. However, unless you convert these dropped kerbs to a legitimate crossing with appropriate markings and signage I'm afraid it is inevitable that accidents will happen as people continue to use their preferred crossing points. Unfortunately you must do something. You can either re-site the existing crossings adjacent the new dropped kerbs, or leave the crossing where it is and remove the new dropped kerbs and lowered pavements. The sensible solution would clearly be the former as pedestrians will still see this as their preferred crossing place.</p>
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>The proposed pedestrian crossing in Temple Street is not best placed for pedestrians coming/going to and from the One Stop Shop, Sainsburys etc. Most people will want to connect with the High Street and the proposed siting of the crossing involves both a longer route and crossing of 2 roads (Temple Street & Rock Road). The best place for the crossing is where it was prior to the new development and where many people are crossing now (at some risk to their safety). There is also a serious issue at this point where lorries are unloading (presumably to service Sainsburys) including parking on the paved area of the new Market Square.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>The current arrangements clearly do not meet the needs of pedestrians in Keynsham. To able bodied people they are an inconvenience. To those with limited mobility, they are situated in places that will significantly increase the distance they need to travel. As the one stop shop and library will be used by all members of the community; including many disabled and elderly people, it seems ridiculous that they are being forced to either risk getting across a busy road or going a significant distance out of their way to use the crossings. Last Saturday in Keynsham, I saw a lady pushing a very heavy and cumbersome wheelchair across the road at the shortest distance (in the vicinity of the historical pelican crossing) to avoid going the long way round and an elderly couple, with limited mobility, crossing Bath Hill with cars beeping at them as they could not go fast enough. Numerous other people, including myself, crossed the road rather than walking right along to the crossing. I am really concerned that there will be fatalities if the crossings stay in their current locations. It is bad enough we have to have this gold monstrosity in our town and now the Local Authority seems to be determined to cause as much inconvenience to access the facilities as possible. I hope there is someone in the transportation team with local Keynsham knowledge and common sense to sort this out.</p>
--	---	---	--	--	--	--

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>It is apparent from observing people crossing the roads concerned that pedestrians are choosing to cross at specific points and will undoubtedly continue to cross at these points even if they are not the planned designated crossing points thus endangering themselves and other road users. 1 Bath Hill: Prior to the re-development, pedestrians could cross in relative safety from the High St to the old town centre as there was a refuge in the middle of the road. This was of particular value to those with mobility issues, older people and those people with young children. The proposed site of the crossing opposite the park gates will greatly inconvenience these particular groups of people. The incline of Bath Hill is quite steep rising from the river to the junction with the High Street, particularly if you are pushing a buggy, or you are an elderly person. The reality is people will continue to cross where they want to ie adjacent to the roundabout. 2 High Street/Temple Street/Rock Road: This whole area is a mess. The majority of people I have observed crossing in this area continue to use the site of the former light controlled crossing outside the Men's hair and grooming salon. It is a natural crossing point as it then permits people to either head towards the Ashton Way car park or along the High Street and is midway between the Rock Road junction and the High Street/Bath Hill junction.</p>
--	---	---	--	--	--	---

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>I am pleased to see that zebra crossings are to be installed to provide access from Keynsham High St and Temple Street to the new civic centre area. I regret that the description of the positions for these crossings in the notice mean little to me. Compass directions are technically sound but of little use to the public like me, even after 30 years living in the town. I have not been into the one stop shop for some time. Like most residents. What I do know is that local people want to cross to the new shops when they see them, from where they are, at the junction of Bath Hill and Temple Street. I have seen a family with a child in a wheel chair stand at the kerb and wait until cars stopped to let them cross thee. I have recently seen an elderly gentleman, a bit like myself, try to dash across the road at that point and slip on the icy surface. I have seen many other people take risks to cross the road there, because they want to. If I were the manager of the Sainsbury's store there, I would be dismayed that there was no crossing for people to get to my shop, from where they see the entrance. If the council want to put off new businesses from using the new shops then they have found a great way to succeed. Make it awkward for people to cross the road to get to the new shops. If the council want people to use the new centre then there is a great need to provide simple convenient access. Please can we have one or two crossings near the junction?</p>
--	---	---	--	--	--	---

						<p>Firstly I will say that the resiting of the crossing on Bath Hill to outside the park gates does seem reasonable and sensible. However resiting the crossing on Temple Street is not so sensible or desirable. My reasons for objecting to the new pedestrian crossing site on Temple Street are as follows: 1. The crossing is too far from the junction of Temple Street and Bath Hill. Anyone who has been shopping in the main shopping area of High Street and then wishes to visit Sainsbury's or the other new shops is unlikely to make the lengthy detour required to use the proposed new crossing. 2. In order to use the new Temple Street crossing a shopper approaching from High Street will have to cross not one street , but two (Rock Road as well). Surely crossing any road includes some risk, so this is doubling the potential risk. 3. Residents have been using a lights controlled crossing of Temple Street sited between the top of Bath Hill and Rock Road for many years (I have been a resident for over 20 years and the crossing was in place when we moved here). People are therefore accustomed to crossing Temple Street at that point, and many are still doing so despite the temporary lights at the new location and the removal of the long standing crossing. This seems to me to be strong evidence that local people find the original crossing place more logical than the new proposal and are more likely to cross there (with or without a crossing!) I would urge the Transportation Team to put the pedestrian crossing in Temple Street back in the position the residents of Keynsham are accustomed to and continue to have it lights controlled as before.</p>
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>Before the new centre was built the existing pedestrian crossings were reasonably adequate. It is our opinion that to afford safe access to the civic centre and surrounding area a pedestrian crossing is now needed by Iceland to the Optican's shop, another one by the Fire Station, one by the Optician's shop to the civic centre and one at the top of Bath Hill to allow pedestrians to walk to and from the civic centre to the High street on that side of the road. Some of the crossings may need to have pedestrian controlled signals to aid safety and traffic flow.</p>
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>I am writing regarding the crossings to the new civic centre. On numerous occasions I have seen pedestrians dodging traffic outside Andrews estate agents to get to sainsburys. This includes school children. I am concerned an accident will soon happen and do not understand why the old traffic lights cannot be returned to their previous position. I am asking you to act now, as when an accident occurs, the finger of blame will be pointed at the council for not acting sooner.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>Having seen the proposals for the new light controlled pedestrian crossings for Keynsham, I fail to see that these will be adequate. The two worst areas are at The High Street/Charlton Road junction, and the Temple Street/Rock Road junction. Both have roundabouts with pedestrian crossings adjacent. I think that the volume of both vehicle and pedestrian traffic at these two places warrants the replacement of these confusing and conflicting items by the installation of proper integrated vehicle/pedestrian traffic light controlled junctions - such as are in common use in Bath and Bristol. Right of way for all users at these junctions would then be clearly provided. From the pedestrian's point of view in particular, they would be able to cross directly at the junction, instead of yards away. Especially for the Rock Road junction it would enable pedestrians to go directly across to and from the new Civic Centre, avoiding the ridiculous 'there and back again' perambulation along Temple Street. Keynsham town centre is a very busy place these days (thankfully) and we need appropriate traffic control.</p>
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>I am a Keynsham resident and I am writing to make a plea for the new pelican crossing to be sited where the old pelican crossing used to be, i.e. opposite the entrance of the new Sainsbury's across to the pavement to Grooming (formerly Blades) hairdressers and Mind charity shop. The current siting of the temporary pelican crossing further along Temple Street is too far along for pedestrians to make use of it. If I am visiting the library, shopping in Sainsbury's or having a meal in the Bonzo Lounge and then want to go to the rest of Keynsham High street then I don't want to turn left along Temple Street and then do a complete u turn after crossing the road to go back to the High street. I do what every other pedestrian is doing, try to cross to Grooming without the assistance of a crossing and dodge the cars coming up Bath Hill and turning left. I know it's risky but I do it and most other pedestrians do the same. I understand that the removal of the Keep Left island at the top of the Bath Hill was necessary now that it is only one lane up the hill, but without another crossing close by pedestrians are taking all sorts of risks trying to get across from the new centre to the rest of the high st. This is why siting the new crossing where the old pelican crossing was would help with pedestrian safety. By the way, I really like the new centre, but it feels a bit isolated from the rest of the High street and it needs to link better to the High street and the crossing would help.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1			<p>I read today in 'The Week' that the pedestrian crossings by the new Civic Centre are going to be sited at the bottom of Bath Hill by the park gates and along by the junction into the car park in Temple Street. I can see that this would seem, on paper, to be the best place for them but I strongly believe that they aren't the best place on safety grounds. I witness each time I go to the high street, people taking their lives in their hands and crossing where the previous Pelican Crossing was by Andrews Estate Agents and again across the top of Bath Hill. The crossing by the park gates is fine provided there is a pedestrian refuge in the middle of the road further up for people to use as there was before. I visited the new Sainsbury's store recently and walked up to the crossing by Iceland and spoke to an elderly lady who complained that it was a long way for her to walk now. Keynsham has a large proportion of elderly residents and they need to be able to cross the road safely and at a convenient place. Please reconsider where these crossings are going to be placed and listen to the residents who have to use them.</p>
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1			<p>BANES proposal to create two new pedestrian crossings, one at the bottom of Bath Hill and one in Temple Street does not address the dangers that will be created by not replacing the one that was just outside Andrews the Estate Agent. This should have been addressed before the proposed creation of loading bays (which seem to be an afterthought) which the council say will stop the old crossing being replaced. Also the central bollards that were at the top of Bath Hill just before the roundabout at the High Street should be retained as a refuge point if people crossed the road to the new centre. I am no expert on traffic / pedestrian management but I fully agree with Councillor Alan Hale, who I understand is qualified in such matters, when expresses his concern as to dangers of not having a pedestrian crossing closer to the High Street giving access to the new centre,. such a crossing must be provided. As an aside if you leave the new centre and walk down Bath Hill on the side of the new centre (there is no pedestrian crossing to gain access to the pavement the other side) you are confronted with fencing which stops you getting access to the pedestrian crossingGreat Planning. Please take into account views expressed by many people and provide a pedestrian crossing where required, as expressed above.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1			<p>It is time to do something constructive and resolve the traffic / pedestrian problem outside the new Civic Centre . A. Pedestrian crossing lights by the keep fit / garden on the Bath Hill to be moved up towards the mini roundabout so that it actually assists pedestrians to cross where they currently cross .B. The Mini roundabout at the junction of Bath Hill / High St / Temple St to be retained .C. The mini roundabout at the junction of Rock Rd / Temple to be removed .D. The pedestrian crossing on Rock Rd to be removed .E. The pedestrian crossing on Temple St to be removed .F. The removed mini roundabout and pedestrian crossings to be incorporated in a new set of traffic lights at the junction of Rock Rd / Temple St to include a pedestrian phase .Hopefully the Council will see the logic in this approach The locals have got nothing out of this “ development “ apart from being disregarded . Pedestrian movement has gone from Temple St by the old council offices , it is now in the small area from Bath Hill to Rock Rd .</p>
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1			<p>What concerns me is the chasm, the mine field, that lies between the pedestrian crossing near to Costa in the High Street and the aforementioned crossings. Anyone coming from the post office and wanting to access the library has to walk from the post office along the High Street, into Temple Street, cross Rock Road, walk on into Temple Street to reach the crossing near the fire station and then to walk back another fifty metres or so. Alternatively they have to cross from the post office in the High Street, walk to Bath Hill descend Bath Hill to the park, cross the road and then climb back up the hill or use the steep zig zag. I appreciate you are the professional but so am I in my day job of road safety and have been around the public and their use of the roads throughout most of my working life. The great British public are not going to walk twice as far to get somewhere when they can take a chance and cross busy roads and junctions. As a council we should be recognising that fact and putting crossing in where people desire to cross, eg bottom of Charlton Road and bottom of Rock Road as examples of desire lines that have been accommodated.</p>

1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>The proposals to site new crossings after the development of Keynsham town centre does not take into account the practicality of crossing roads in around the area. If I wish to cross the high street it would entail walking down bath hill to the park gates then back up the other side to temple street. This gradient is difficult for the elderly, disabled, wheelchair users, pushchair users to negotiate, particularly if you are also carrying shopping. To cross from the high street on the Costa side of town, means going across rock road and then across temple street, then walking back to the library, town hall etc. the bust stop has been. Moved Over further along temple street, entailing crossing the front of the Fire station and civic centre car park. All of this adds hazards to pedestrians, the new centre has not been designed with any consideration for pedestrians at all. I will continue to Jay walk in order to access the new centre as I am sure many others will do. Incidentally, I fully agree with Cllr.Hale that the area in market walk, is dangerous. I myself have slipped off the edge of the steps, they are not of a uniform depth, nor clearly marked.</p>
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>Very briefly it is vital a pedestrian crossing is located between Sainsburys and Blades just before the Rock Rd junction. This has always been one of the premier crossing points , used by far more people than the one proposed further along Temple St by the Fire Station. Without a crossing at this point accidents are inevitable</p>
1	Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>I am writing this as a Keynsham resident who is a pedestrian, car driver and Dial-a-ride driver. I wish to object to the siting of pedestrian crossings as described in your traffic notice around the Rock Road Roundabout. The only sensible solution for the free flow of traffic and safety of pedestrians at the Rock Road roundabout is to have 3 light controlled crossings. These should be synchronised so that all show the same signal at the same time. One would be on Rock Road, (where it is now). The second would be from Iceland to The One Stop fire exit, (suitable paving is already in place on one side).</p> <p>The third would be from the Mind charity shop to The new open area, (suitable paving is already in place on one side).</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>Crossings are required in the following locations: 1 In the place of the original pedestrian light crossing outside the Andrews Estate Agent. 2 A traffic island for pedestrians crossing at the top of Bath Hill - ie from Sainsburys to Corals betting shop. Not in the places described in your notice. It is astonishing that crossings/traffic islands have not already been placed in these areas. I would suggest that in conjunction with your consultation then a survey should be undertaken simply watching where pedestrians are currently choosing to cross. I anticipate this would be in the two areas I describe above. It is also disappointing that: The road surface on Bath Hill remains in a terrible condition. No provision has been made for cyclists travelling up Bath Hill. The highway/traffic flow/interests of pedestrians has clearly been secondary to the overall development</p>
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				<p>The position of the proposed crossing on Temple Street ignores the pedestrian flows around the High Street/Temple Street/Rock Road junctions. The location of the crossing prior to redevelopment was ideal as pedestrians from the High St, the car park on Ashton Way and Rock Road could cross easily to library and associated shops. The current plan provides a very long way round and involves crossing two roads rather than one. With the current proposals the nimble, like myself, will chance the traffic and cross close to the mini roundabout, though sooner or later car and pedestrian will collide. Those who are less nimble will be forced to walk further and wait for two sets of lights. The reduction in road width and general layout around the new development is admirable, it will be a shame if we are discouraged from using the new shops and facilities because it is difficult to get to.</p>

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1			<p>Today I visited the One Stop Shop in the new Keynsham Library to consult the above planning proposal, only to be told that they do not have the document, neither have they means of returning a written response. This is despite notification in both the 'Week In' and 'KeynshamVoice' which must have been prepared at least 5 or 6 days ago!</p> <p>I can only say I am most disappointed at this lack of planning/coordination of BANES services. It seems to be another instance of saying one thing and doing another.</p> <p>To return to PV10501: In my view the proposals fail to meet the preferred routes of pedestrians, (Keynsham is after all 'A pedestrian Friendly Town'. To address the situation I would propose the following: 1The traffic lights at the bottom of Bath Hill/The Park be removed. 2 A light controlled crossing be installed in the original location outside Andrews Building Society. There is already a lowered and studded pavement on the new development which suggests that a crossing was at some point planned for! 3 The light controlled crossing in Rock Road should be retained and these lights should be synchronised with the lights in point 2. 4 The current temporary light controlled crossing further along Temple Street, near the Fire Station be removed. 5 The High Street zebra crossing seems to work well and should be retained. 6 The zebra crossing in Charlton Road should become light controlled in order to improve traffic flow. I would suggest that any of these changes should be given a trial run, (as with the temporary lights in Bath Hill, Temple Street and Rock Road) before any money is spent and permanent changes made. This would allow the changes to be assessed and to judge their effectiveness.</p>
--	---	---	--	--	--

<p>1</p>	<p>Keynsham High Street, Temple Street, Bath Hill</p>	<p>1</p>				<p>There are currently 2 Zebra crossings (plus 1 light controlled crossing on Temple Street) on the area shown on the map provided. Incidentally, the Zebra crossings on Ashton way and Charlton Road are not shown on the map which we believe as an error, as they both play a major part in the congestion of traffic in the High Street area. The proposal to double the number of crossings to four is crazy especially as the 2 proposed are both close to the existing crossing in Rock Road. Furthermore, one of the proposed crossings appears to be also part of a Loading Bay. The current crossing on Rock road is dangerous to both pedestrians and drivers because pedestrians regularly display a total disregard for their own road safety and vehicles approaching the crossing and it is an accident waiting to happen. As a driver, pedestrian and resident of Keynsham, Rock Road crossing should be removed, the Council should seriously reconsider the safety to pedestrians with a Loading Bay in such a close proximity to the Pedestrian Crossing. Crossings at the bottom of Rock Road and Temple Street (Bath Hill end) should be light controlled and co-ordinated so both show the same signal at the same time. This will allow a better flow of traffic. The crossing at the bottom of Rock Road should be moved up the road because at present a full sized bus has to stop on the crossing when it is waiting to pull out of the roundabout outside of Iceland (stopping on a crossing is an offence). Loading Bay (Temple Street, Bath Hill), it is dangerous to have a pedestrian crossing in such close proximity. If 2 large lorries wanted to use the Loading Bay at the same time surely this will protrude onto the Highway causing a blockage to traffic flow. The idea to put so many crossings in place will cause so much congestion and bring Keynsham to a standstill. Pollution levels are already high, with more congestion and the idling of vehicles waiting for longer for a break of pedestrians before pulling away from a crossing these levels will go even higher. It is understandable that there are moves to make Keynsham pedestrian friendly but these proposals if implemented would deter drivers from coming into Keynsham because they do not want to be stuck in one continuous bottleneck of a traffic jam. To put a pedestrian crossing outside of Brittonia Building Society opposite the Loading Bay is dangerous. Having so many crossing in close proximity is ridiculous. To add more crossings within the area of Bath Hill, High Street and Temple will definitely not help the flow of traffic, it will do the opposite and cause more congestion. Pedestrians no longer stop at a crossing, look left and right – they just walk straight across. There have been times at</p>
----------	---	----------	--	--	--	---

	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				I am a client of Norville Opticians sited at the corner of the High St. and Bath Hill opposite the new shopping centre. When in the shop you can watch pedestrians continually crossing the road at the top of Bath Hill near the roundabout. It would seem therefore that this is where you need to provide a safe crossing area. The crossing with lights works reasonably well outside Iceland now that pedestrians have realised they can no longer step straight into the road. Because the pavement has been made so wide on the Sainsbury side of Bath Hill this has prevented the restoration of the left turn filter lane and the removal of the island in the centre of the road which aided pedestrians crossing at this point. I am told they have had to make the pavement so wide because no facility was built into the plans to enable unloading of goods to the various stores!! Whose shortsightedness was this? The pavement is empty most of the day while the road is busy most of the day! This whole garish, tasteless enterprise, was embarked on to enable Bath to ship their workers out to Keynsham to take up more of the much needed parking facilities. They didn't build a garish modern shopping centre in Bath did they? No it was designed to blend in with its historical surroundings.
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				There is a signalised pedestrian crossing outside of our recently opened Local in Keynsham (Bath Hill West & Temple Street, BS31 1EG). I understand that the infrastructure itself (road markings, post, signal heads) has been installed but the crossing is not in operation. As such please could you advise when the signalised crossing will become active?
	Keynsham High Street, 1 Temple Street, Bath Hill	1				I am in total agreement with this article in the Week of the 1st January. I work in the Civic Centre and when I come into work in the morning I have to cross over near Costa and then cross over at the ICE land crossing then cross over the crossing near Bath Bakery, which is going away from where I need to get. The same is at lunchtime I either have to risk crossing on a very busy road or do the same route again as in the morning. Which is such a waste of effort. There needs to be a safe way of crossing over to the Civic Centre and also a safe way of crossing the Bath Road, this needs to be a priority before there is a nasty accident.